Highway Traffic Noise Analysis

WIN 18129 Trafton Road Interchange Environmental Assessment

May 30, 2013 Prepared by:

Maine Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Systems Planning Office of Highway and Bridge Planning 16 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0016

INTRODUCTION

This highway traffic noise analysis was prepared to determine the potential noise impacts associated with the construction of a new full-service I-95 interchange at Trafton Road in Waterville. The highway traffic noise levels were predicted for the existing condition (2011) and the future No-Build and Build Alternatives for the design year (2036).

The noise analysis was conducted in accordance with the following Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Maine Department of Transportation (MaineDOT) regulatory and policy guidelines:

- Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 772 Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise. (23 CFR 772);
- FHWA Highway Traffic Noise: Analysis and Abatement Guidance, December 2011, and;
- MaineDOT Highway Traffic Noise Policy, July 18, 2012.

The purpose of a highway traffic noise analysis is to identify impacted land uses (homes, schools, business, etc) and determine the feasibility and reasonableness of abatement measures. The terms "feasibility" and "reasonableness" are terms commonly used in highway traffic noise analysis to determine, among other things, the effectiveness (in terms of noise reduction) and the acceptable cost for any noise abatement measure. All noise abatement measures are evaluated based on the feasibility and reasonableness criteria identified in MaineDOT's noise policy.

1.0 NOISE ABATEMENT CRITERIA

The FHWA and MaineDOT Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) were used to determine traffic noise impacts at all receptors within the study area. The NAC are FHWA-established noise levels for activities or land uses that identify traffic noise impacts during the loudest hour. As shown in Table 2-1, the criteria vary according to a property's activity category.

MaineDOT evaluates noise abatement measures when predicted future noise levels "approach" within 1 dBA or "exceed" the NAC; thus abatement measures will be evaluated for any residential homes (NAC Activity Category B) with predicted noise levels of 66 dBA or greater.

Activity Category	Leq(h) dBA	Description of Activity Category
A	57 Exterior	Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.
В	67 Exterior	Residential
С	67 Exterior	Active sport areas, amphitheaters, auditoriums, campgrounds, cemeteries, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, parks, picnic areas, places of worship, playgrounds, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, recreation areas, Section 4(f) sites, schools, television studios, trails, and trail crossings.
D	52 Interior	Auditoriums, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, places of worship, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, schools, and television studios.
E	72 Exterior	Hotels, motels, offices, restaurants/bars, and other developed lands, properties or activities not included in A-D or F.
F		Agriculture, airports, bus yards, emergency services, industrial, logging, maintenance facilities, manufacturing, mining, rail yards, retail facilities, shipyards, utilities (water resources, water treatment, electrical), and warehousing
E		Undeveloped lands.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

Noise levels were established using a combination of traffic noise modeling and field measurements for the existing condition (2011) and design-year (2036) no-build and build conditions. The results of the noise analysis are presented in Section 3.

1

The prediction of traffic noise levels was performed using FHWA's Highway Traffic Noise Model Version 2.5 (TNM 2.5). TNM 2.5 predicts traffic noise levels between highways and nearby receptors taking the intervening ground's acoustical characteristics, topography, and rows of buildings into account.

The noise levels presented in this report are expressed in decibels (dB) on the A-weighted scale (dBA). This scale most closely approximates the response characteristics of the human ear to low level sound. All noise levels are reported as equivalent level, Leq(h), values which contain the same amount of acoustic energy as an actual time-varying A-weighted sound level over a period of 1 hour.

Traffic data for the study area was obtained from the Interchange justification Report and the Sidney Automated Traffic Recorder (ATR). Afternoon peak-hour traffic data was used to replicate loudest hour conditions for all receptors located near the proposed interchange.

Noise measurements were performed on the afternoon of May 28, 2013. These measured noise levels were used to validate the accuracy of TNM 2.5 calculations.

3.0 NOISE ANALYSIS

The traffic noise analysis was performed using TNM 2.5. The model was validated using field measurements from Type II Quest Sound Level Meters. The purpose of the noise analysis was to predict existing noise levels and determine noise levels for all receptors within the study area.

3.1 Receptors

A "receptor" is a technical term used to describe the location of any properties included in the noise analysis. In determining traffic noise impacts, primary consideration is given to exterior areas where frequent human use occurs such as patios, porches, swimming pools, playgrounds, etc. If no exterior areas are present, the interior NAC is used as the basis for determining noise impacts.

Various factors affect the "transmittal" of sound from a source to a receptor. These factors include vegetation, intervening structures, elevation of the source and/or the receptor, surrounding topography and the type of ground surface between the source and the receptor. The attenuation (reduction) of sound levels due to intervening structures occurs when a receptor's view (line-of-sight) is obstructed or partially obstructed by dense objects (i.e. rows of buildings, barriers, etc).

3.2 Highway Traffic Noise Model Validation

Traffic noise model validation is typically done as an initial step in traffic noise modeling to insure that predictions of existing and future traffic noise are reasonably accurate. Essentially, modeled results of existing traffic conditions are compared with measured noise levels at various receptor locations. Generally, if modeled noise levels are within ± 3 dBA of measured levels, no additional modifications to the traffic noise model are necessary.

Noise measurements were performed on the afternoon of May 28, 2013 for selected receptors throughout the study area. These measured noise levels were compared to modeled levels to validate the accuracy of TNM 2.5 predictions.

Receptor ID	Location	Property Description	Measured Noise Levels	Modeled Noise Levels	Difference
R1	255 Trafton Rd	Commercial (vacant)	56	59	-3
R2	263 Trafton Rd	Residential		56	
R3	229 Trafton Rd	Residential	61	59	2
R4	599 8 Rod Rd	Residential	56	54	2
R5	102 Junction Rd	Residential		55	
		·	Average	e Difference	2

Table 3-1 Results of Traffic Noise Model Validation (dBA)

As shown in Table 3.1, the average difference between modeled and measured results for all measurement locations was within \pm 3 dBA of measured levels. These results indicate that the traffic noise model is predicting accurately for existing and future conditions.

3.3 Determination of Impacts

Traffic noise levels were predicted at 5 receptors within the study area for the existing condition (2011) and design-year (2036) no-build and build conditions. As shown in Table 3-2, the results of the traffic noise analysis demonstrate that traffic noise impacts are not expected at any receptors under all 3 modeled scenarios.

Receptor ID	Impact Criteria	Existing 2011 Noise Levels	No-Build 2036 Noise Levels	Build 2036 Noise Levels	Impacts?
R1	71	59	61	64	No
R2	66	56	59	61	No
R3	66	59	62	64	No
R4	66	54	55	55	No
R5	66	55	56	56	No

Table 3-2 Results of Traffic Noise Analysis (dBA)
Image: Comparison of the second second

4.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the results of the traffic noise analysis in Section 3, highway traffic noise impacts are not expected and evaluation of abatement measures is not warranted.

Appendix A: Noise Monitoring Data

	/ 1	Highwa	y Noise M	onitoring S	Sheet			
DATE: PROJECT:	5/28/13 Tigflon	Rd		1	address 255	s: Traflon	RJ	
JOB <u>#/</u>					Wet	uville,	ME	
STTE ID	R/		Gann Fleming		Meter Sto	rage #		
TYPE] Residential	Commerc	ial 🗌 Religion	Educational	Other	<u> </u>		
Measure	ement Data	- ,			aph #'s			
SLM Calib	oration bef	ore <u>113.2</u>	after 113.4	/		J		
Weather: Time:	1st sta 2nd sta	rt $\frac{2:48}{3:04}$	stop <u>3:63</u> stop <u>3:19</u>	total	min	<u>dr.</u>	-	
Data:	1st Leg 2nd Leg	rr n	Lmax 70, 7 Lmax 70, 8		<u>2</u> sel <u>3</u> sel	35.2	_	
Traffic	Data			,		·		
				Roadway#3		Roadway#4		
Direction	1st 2nd	Direction	1st 2nd	Direction 1 s t	2nd	Direction	1st	2nd
auto med. trk.		auto med. trk.		auto med. trk.		auto med. trk.		
hvy trk.		heu. u.k. hvy trk.		hvy trk.		hvy trk.		
bus		bus		bus		bus		
motorcycle		motorcycle		motorcycle		motorcycle		I
NOTES:	(Simming	al Propr	1 - VC	rant?				
		<u></u>						
SITE SKE	ТСН							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
			63					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Stone				N N N N N N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	
1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (8 8		· · · · ·		
Patio	·····	Gravel	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{\gamma} \\ \dot{\gamma} \end{array} \right)$				•	
	Parking		E 3			· · · · ·		
	·	Trafton	P 0	verpass # 1	T : : :			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1/2/ 107						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · ·		
						· · · · · ·	• •	
	· · · · · ·	· · · ·			· · · ·		· · ·	
						• • •	• •	

,	Highway	Noise Monito	ring Sheet	
DATE: 5/2			ADDRESS	S:
PROJECT: Trat	-		$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$	That so Rd
JOB #_ 18129	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\overline{ }$	None, ME
SITE ID R3		Gannett Fleming, Inc.		prage #
	/			
TYPE 🔽 Reside	ential 🚺 Commercial	Religion Ed	ucational 🗌 Other	
Measurement	Data		Photograph #'s	
SLM Calibration	before 1/3. 4			
Weather:	temperature 65	wind speed <u>5-8</u> stop <u>3.50</u> tota	cloud cover_(c/+c -
Time: 1st	start $\frac{5.54}{7.51}$:	stop $\frac{3.50}{4.02}$ tota	al <u>16 min</u>	
2nd Data: 1st	start $\frac{3}{51}$ start	$\frac{102}{100} \text{ total}$	al $\frac{15}{51.9}$ SEL	
2nd	Leq $6/./$		(7)	90.5
Traffic Data	,	·	Ŭ	
	N 1 40		*0	
Roadway#1			7ay#3	Roadway#4 Direction
1st	2nd	1st 2nd	1st 2nd	1st 2nd
auto med. trk.	auto med. trk	auto	trk.	auto med. trk.
hvy trk.		hvy t		hvy trk.
bus	bus	bus		bus
motorcycle	motorcycle	motor	rcycle	motorcycle
NOTES: $\int_{S} +$	rading interin	old talling	11/ hour	owner + nrighbor
(F	γ , μ	Tration tos	*	<u> </u>
/·	Clone, Al	1.4 (57) -2		
SITE SKETCH				
	1 2 1 70	immellial		
		rontractingsite		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•••••				/.
	Provide	barrent tous		
53	m Kape			
\sim	\leq			1207
				/6/
		Hirris (
1	atton	····		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
:			· · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			• • • •	

	1.52	112)	5			N. 6	4	111	ng	21								
DATE: PROJECT:	The .	1-1	<u> </u>	-									RESS ବ୍ୟୁ		12	1		-1	
$\frac{1}{10B} # \frac{1}{10}$	1812	-10 4 		-								$\overline{(1)}$		1uil					
STTE ID	R4	<u> </u>		-		F	Ganne leming,	tt. Inc.			P			rage			-		
TYPE 🗗	Reside	ential 🗌	Comn	- neroi:	-1 []	تام	gion	ਿ ਸਟ	luoat	tions									
Measure:			comm	191 010	ы []	10011	gion												
SLM Calibi			1/3.4	4	- 6 4	17	3.4		Ph	otog	rap	h #':							-
Weather:	racion		ature	65	anter		speed		8		ala	vd cov	er.	d-	<				
lime:	1st	start	4:11		stop	4'			tal _		0	<u> </u>	er						
D - 4	2nd		$\frac{7}{2}$	<u> </u>	stop	-	32		tal _	$\frac{1}{u G}$	$\frac{O}{7}$			R	4	1			
Data:	1st 2nd	Leq . Leq	56.4		Lmax Lmax	- T	7,5	Lπ Lπ		<u>† 1.</u> 44	6		SEL SEL	8	<u>4.1</u> 4.	3	-		
Fraffic 1	Data	۔ .							-								-		
Roadway#1			Roadwa	a⊽#2				Road	wav#?	3				Roa	dway#	4			
			Directi					Direc							ction				
auto _	1st	Znđ	auto	_	1st	- 1	nd.	auto		1:	st	2n	1	auto)		1st	2	nd
med. trk		-	med. t	irk				med.	trk.					med	l. trk.	_		<u> </u>	
nvy trk			hvy tr	k				hvy	trk.			ļ		hvy	trk.			_	
ous		1										1							
notorcycle _ NOTES:			bus motoro						orcycl					bus mot	orcyc]	 le			
			motoro					moto	prcycl			T			orcyc]	le			
notorcycle _			motoro					moto				T			orcycl	le			
notorcycle _			motoro					moto				T				le			
notorcycle _			motoro					moto	brcycl			T				le			
notorcycle _			motoro					moto				T			orcycl				
notorcycle _	PCH	<u> </u>	motoro					moto	brcycl			T				le			
notorcycle _	PCH		motoro					moto	Prcycla 			T			orcyc	le			
notorcycle _	PCH	<u> </u>	motoro					moto	brcycla			T			orcycl	le			
notorcycle _	PCH	<u> </u>	motoro					moto	ercych			T				ie			
notorcycle _	PCH	<u> </u>	motoro					moto	Prcycla			T			orcyc	ie			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
notorcycle _	PCH	<u> </u>	motoro	B				moto	Prcycla			T			orcycl	le			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
notorcycle _	PCH		motore	B				moto	prcycl.			T			orcyc]	ie			
notorcycle _	PCH		motoro	B				moto	brcycl			T			orcyc	ie			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
notorcycle _	PCH		motore	B	Ø			moto	rcycl			T			orcyc				
notorcycle _	PCH	I-99	motore	B	Ø			moto				T			orcyc]	ie			
notorcycle _	PCH		motore	B				moto	brcycl			T			orcyc				

Appendix B: TNM Sound Levels

RESULTS: SOUND LEVELS								WIN 18129	9					
MaineDOT								29 May 2	2013					
Nate Howard								TNM 2.5						
								Calculate	Calculated with TNM 2.5	A 2.5				
RESULTS: SOUND LEVELS														
PROJECT/CONTRACT:		WIN 18129	129											
RUN:		Trafton	Road EA	Trafton Road EA 2011 Base										
BARRIER DESIGN:		INPUT	INPUT HEIGHTS						Average	Average pavement type shall be used unless	e shall be us	ed unles	ίλ	-
									a State h	a State highway agency substantiates the use	y substantiat	tes the u	se	
ATMOSPHERICS:		68 deg	68 deg F, 50% RH	–					of a diffe	of a different type with approval of FHWA.	approval of	FHWA.		
Receiver														
Name	No.	#DUs	Existing	No Barrier	Ť					With Barrier				
			LAeq1h	LAeq1h		_	Increase over existing	er existing	Туре	Calculated	Noise Reduction	iction		
				Calculated	d Crit'n		Calculated	Crit'n	Impact	LAeq1h	Calculated	Goal	Calo	Calculated
								Sub'l Inc					minus Goal	- us
			dBA	dBA	dBA		dB	dB		dBA	dB	dB	đB	
Rı		_	0.0		58.8	71	58.8		15	58.8	0.0	0	7	-7.0
R2	N		0.0		56.3	66	56.3		15	56.3	0.0	ō	7	-7.0
R3	ы		0.0		58.8	66	58.8	.8 15	1	58.8	0.0	0	7	-7.0
R4	4		0.0		54.1	66	54.1	.1 15	<u>م</u>	54.1	0.0	0	7	-7.0
R5	ъ		0.0		55.4	66	55.4		15	55.4	0.0	o	7	-7.0
Dwelling Units		# DUs	Noise Reduction	duction										
			Min	Avg	Max	×								
			dB	dB	dB									
All Selected		IJ IJ	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								
All Impacted		0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								
All that meet NR Goal		0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0								

MaineDOT								30 May 2	2013					
Nate Howard								TNM 2.5						
								Calculat	Calculated with TNM 2.5	M 2.5				
RESULTS: SOUND LEVELS														
PROJECT/CONTRACT:		WIN 18129	8129											
RUN:		Trafto	Trafton Road EA 2036 No Build	2036 No	Build									
BARRIER DESIGN:		INPU	INPUT HEIGHTS						Average	Average pavement type shall be used unless	shall be use	d unles	ŭ	
									a State h	a State highway agency substantiates the use	/ substantiat	es the u	se	
ATMOSPHERICS:		68 de	68 deg F, 50% RH	Ĥ					of a diffe	of a different type with approval of FHWA.	approval of F	HWA.		
Receiver				-										
Name	No.	#DUs	Existing	No Barrier	ier					With Barrier				
			LAeq1h	LAeq1h	-		Increase over existing	existing?	Туре	Calculated	Noise Reduction	tion		
				Calculated	ted Crit'n		Calculated	Crit'n	Impact	LAeq1h	Calculated	Goal	Calc	Calculated
								Sub'l Inc					minus	SI -
			dBA	dBA	dBA		dB	dB		dBA	dB	B	dB	
R1			1 0	0.0	60.7	71	60.7		15	60.7	0.0		7	-7.0
R2		Ν	1	0.0	58.7	66	58.7		15	58.7	0.0	Ū	7	-7.0
R3		ω	1 0.0	0	61.5	66	61.5		15 -	61.5	0.0	J	7	-7.0
R4		4	1	0.0	54.6	66	54.6		15	54.6	0.0)	7	-7.0
R5		U	1 0	0.0	55.8	66	55.8		15	55.8	0.0		7	-7.0
Dwelling Units		# DUs		Noise Reduction										
			Min	Avg	Max	×								
			đB	dB	dB									
All Selected			5 0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
All Impacted			0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
All that meet NR Goal			0	0.0	0.0	0.0								

MaineDOT								29 May 2(2013				
Nate Howard								TNM 2.5					
								Calculate	Calculated with TNM 2.5	1 2.5			
RESULTS: SOUND LEVELS													
PROJECT/CONTRACT:		WIN 18129	129										
RUN:		Trafton	Road EA	Trafton Road EA 2036 Build	_								
BARRIER DESIGN:		INPUT	INPUT HEIGHTS						Average	Average pavement type shall be used unless	shall be used	d unless	
									a State hi	a State highway agency substantiates the use	r substantiate	s the use	
ATMOSPHERICS:		68 deg	68 deg F, 50% RH	-					of a diffe	of a different type with approval of FHWA.	approval of FH	HWA.	
Receiver													
Name	No.	#DUs	Existing	No Barrier	4					With Barrier			
			LAeq1h	LAeq1h		_	Increase over existing	r existing	Туре	Calculated	Noise Reduction	tion	
				Calculated	ed Crit'n		Calculated	Crit'n	Impact	LAeq1h	Calculated	Goal	Calculated
								Sub'l Inc					minus
													Goal
			dBA	dBA	dBA	0	dB	đB		dBA	dB	dB	dB
R1		_	0.0		63.9	71	63.9	9 15		63.9	0.0	~	7 -7.0
R2	2	_	0.0		60.6	66	60.6	6 15		60.6	0.0	7	7 -7.0
R3	З		0.0		64.3	66	64.3	3 15		64.3	0.0	7	7 -7.0
R4	4	-	0.0		54.9	66	54.9	9 15		54.9	0.0	7	7 -7.0
R5	ъ	<u> </u>	0.0		55.8	66	55.8	8 15		55.8	0.0	7	7 -7.0
Dwelling Units		# DUs	Noise Reduction	duction									
			Min	Avg	Max								
			đB	đB	dB								
All Selected	and a de la composition de la	თ	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							
All Impacted		0	0.0	0	0.0	,0 0							
All that meet NR Goal		0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0							

-